## 6-26.3 MINIMUM STANDARDS

1 2

3

4 5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25 26

24

27 28

29

30 31

- (a) Minimum Period of Practice. The applicant shall have been engaged in the practice of law for at least 5 years immediately preceding the date of application. Notwithstanding the definition of "practice of law" in rule 6-3.5(c)(1), practicing "patent application prosecution," as defined in section 6-26.2(a), before the USPTO as a registered patent attorney or registered patent agent shall be deemed to constitute the practice of law for purposes of the 5-year practice requirement.
- (b) Substantial Involvement. Substantial involvement means at least 30 50 percent of the applicant's practice during the 3 years immediately preceding application has been devoted to matters involving intellectual property law.
- (c) Experience. During the 5 years immediately preceding application, the applicant must comply with the experience requirements in at least 1 of the following categories:
  - (1) Patent Application Prosecution. The applicant must have handled with senior-level responsibility a minimum of 40 patent matters that involved representation of a client. The quality of the applicant's work and the nature of the issues involved shall be a factor in determining eligibility for certification. Demonstration of compliance with this requirement shall be made initially through a form of questionnaire approved by the intellectual property law certification committee, but written or oral supplementation (including copies of work product) may be required. For good cause shown, for satisfaction in part of the 40 patent matters that involved representation of a client, verified substantial involvement in patent matters at a government agency may be considered. Verified substantial involvement in other areas of intellectual property law may also be considered to demonstrate overall proficiency.
  - (2) Patent Infringement Litigation. The applicant must have handled with senior-level responsibility a minimum of 5 contested matters in litigation or on appeal in which there was an adjudicated decision. Additionally, applicants shall have devoted a minimum of 800 hours

per year to litigation matters generally, at least 300 hours per year of which shall have been devoted to patent infringement litigation; and applicant shall have, within the last 10 years, tried a patent infringement litigation matter to the close of testimony, verdict, or judgment. The applicant shall submit work product samples and a transcript (if available) in each such contested matter. For good cause shown, for satisfaction in part of the minimum requirements, verified substantial involvement in patent infringement litigation at a government agency may be considered. Verified substantial involvement in other areas of intellectual property law may also be considered to demonstrate overall proficiency.

(3) Trademark Law. The applicant must have handled with senior-level responsibility either a minimum of 6 contested matters or 25 responses to substantive refusals, or a combination of the 2. Substantive refusals on which the applicant relies shall not have involved merely technical corrections, insignificant matters, or abandonment. The applicant shall submit work product samples and a transcript (if available) in each such contested matter. In addition, applicant must have engaged in at least 300 hours each year in the practice of law in which the applicant has had substantial senior-level participation in legal matters involving trademark law. Three contested matters involving in the aggregate no less than 50 hours of in-session hearing or trial shall satisfy the requirement of 6 contested matters. For good cause shown, for satisfaction in whole or in part of the requirement of 6 contested matters or 25 responses to substantive refusals, verified substantial involvement in a combination of contested matters and responses to substantive refusals shall be considered. For good cause shown, for satisfaction in part of the minimum requirements, verified substantial involvement in trademark matters at a government agency may be considered in lieu of representation of clients. Verified substantial involvement in other areas of intellectual property law may also be considered to demonstrate overall proficiency.

(4) Copyright Law. The applicant must have handled with senior-level responsibility a minimum of 40 substantive matters that involved representation of a client, with a minimum of 300 hours per year devoted to such matters. The ministerial preparation of a copyright registration is not considered a substantive matter for purposes of certification. The applicant shall submit work product samples and, if the applicant also relies upon participation in

contested matters, the applicant shall submit transcripts (if available) in each such contested matter. For good cause shown, for satisfaction in part of the minimum requirements, verified substantial involvement in copyright matters at a government agency may be considered in lieu of representation of clients. Verified substantial involvement in other areas of intellectual property law may also be considered to demonstrate overall proficiency.

(d) Peer Review. The applicant shall select and submit the names and addresses of at least 6 lawyers or judges, who neither are relatives nor current associates, partners, or who otherwise practice law in an of-counsel relationship with the applicant, to serve as references. Such references will be contacted and requested to attest to the applicant's special competence and substantial involvement in intellectual property law, as well as to the applicant's character, ethics, and reputation for professionalism. Individuals submitted as references shall be substantially involved in intellectual property law and shall be familiar with the applicant's practice. In addition, other attorneys, judges, employees at government agencies, or other persons likely to be familiar with the applicant may be contacted as deemed necessary by the intellectual property law certification committee and the board of legal specialization and education.

(e) Education. The applicant must demonstrate that during the 3-year period immediately preceding the filing of an application, the applicant has met the continuing legal education requirements necessary for intellectual property law certification. The required number of hours shall be established by the board of legal specialization and education and shall in no event be less than 45 hours. Accreditation of educational hours shall be subject to policies established by the intellectual property law certification committee or the board of legal specialization and education and may be satisfied by participation in 1 or more of the following activities:

(1) attendance at continuing legal education seminars for which intellectual property law certification credit has been approved;

(2) teaching a course in intellectual property law;

94	(3) participation as a panelist or speaker in a symposium or similar program on
95	intellectual property law;
96	
97	(4) authorship of a book, chapter, or article on intellectual property law, published in a
98	professional publication or journal;
99	
100	(5) completing such home study programs as may be approved by the board of legal
101	specialization and education or the intellectual property law certification committee, subject
102	to the limitation that no more than 50 percent of the required number of hours of education
103	may be satisfied through home study programs; and
104	
105	(6) such other methods as may be approved by the board of legal specialization and
106	education and the intellectual property law certification committee.
107	
108	(f) Examination. The applicant must pass an examination applied uniformly to all
109	applicants to demonstrate sufficient knowledge, proficiency, and experience in intellectual
110	property law sufficient to justify certification of special competence to the legal profession and
111	the public. The examination will be comprehensive in scope and each applicant will be required
112	to demonstrate at least some knowledge in each specific subject tested. Applicants, however,
113	will be given the opportunity to emphasize special knowledge in 1 or more specific subject areas
114	
115	(g) Exemption. An applicant may qualify for an exemption from the examination, or a
116	portion thereof, as follows:
117	
118	(1) an applicant currently a registered patent attorney in good standing with the USPTO
119	shall not be required to take the section(s) of the examination on topics defined in rule 6-
120	26.2(a), but must demonstrate knowledge of substantive law pertaining to intellectual
121	property;
122	

(2) an applicant currently certified by The Florida Bar in civil trial or business litigation shall not be required to take the section of the examination on the litigation process, but must demonstrate knowledge of substantive law pertaining to intellectual property;

(3) an applicant who has been substantially involved in intellectual property law for a minimum of 20 years, in accordance with the standards set forth in rule 6-3.5(d), and who can demonstrate compliance with the experience requirements under rule 6-26.3(c), subdivisions (1), (2), (3), or (4) within a 10-year time frame, shall be exempt from the examination if all other requirements for certification are met. This exemption shall be applicable only to those applicants who apply by October 31, 2009.